

Varieties with ample cotangent bundle

Olivier Debarre

February 1, 2008

1 Introduction

Projective algebraic varieties X with ample cotangent bundle have many properties: the subvarieties of X are all of general type; there are finitely many nonconstant rational maps from any fixed projective variety to X ([NS]); if X is defined over \mathbf{C} , any entire holomorphic mapping $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ is constant ([De], (3.1)); if X is defined over a number field K , the set of K -rational points of X is conjectured to be finite ([M]).

Although these varieties are expected to be reasonably abundant, few concrete constructions are available. The main result of this article, proved in section 2, is that *the intersection of at least $n/2$ sufficiently ample general hypersurfaces in an abelian variety of dimension n has ample cotangent bundle*. This answers positively a question of Lazarsfeld. As a corollary, we obtain results about cohomology groups of sheaves of symmetric tensors on smooth subvarieties of abelian varieties.

In section 3, mostly conjectural, we discuss analogous questions for complete intersections in the projective space.

Finally, we present in section 4 an unpublished result of Bogomolov which states that a general linear section of small dimension of a product of sufficiently many smooth projective varieties with big cotangent bundle has ample cotangent bundle. This shows in particular that the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety with ample cotangent bundle can be any group arising as the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety.

We work over the complex numbers. Given a vector bundle \mathcal{E} , the projective bundle $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is the space of 1-dimensional *quotients* of the fibers of \mathcal{E} . It is endowed with a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$. We say that \mathcal{E} is ample (resp. nef, resp. big) if the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ has the same property. Following [So1], we say more generally that given an integer k , the vector bundle \mathcal{E} is k -ample if, for some $m > 0$, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(m)$ is generated by its global sections and each fiber of the associated map $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^N$ has dimension $\leq k$. Ampleness coincide with 0-ampleness.

2 Subvarieties of abelian varieties

We study the positivity properties of the cotangent bundle of a smooth subvariety of an abelian variety A .

2.1 Preliminary material

Using a translation, we identify the tangent space $T_{A,x}$ at a point x of A with the tangent space $T_{A,0}$ at the origin. We begin with a classical result.

Proposition 1 *Let X be a smooth subvariety of an abelian variety A . The following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) *the cotangent bundle Ω_X is k -ample;*
- (ii) *for any nonzero vector ξ in $T_{A,0}$, the set $\{x \in X \mid \xi \in T_{X,x}\}$ has dimension $\leq k$.*

PROOF. The natural surjection $(\Omega_A)|_X \rightarrow \Omega_X$ induces a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & f & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 \mathbf{P}(\Omega_X) & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbf{P}(\Omega_A)|_X \simeq \mathbf{P}(\Omega_{A,0}) \times X & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \mathbf{P}(\Omega_{A,0}) \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow p_2 & & \\
 & & X & &
 \end{array} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)}(1) = g^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\Omega_A)|_X}(1) = f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\Omega_{A,0})}(1)$$

It follows that Ω_X is k -ample if and only if each fiber of f has dimension $\leq k$ ([Sol], Corollary 1.9). The proposition follows, since the restriction of the projection $\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X) \rightarrow X$ to any fiber of f is injective. \square

Remarks 2 (1) Let $d = \dim(X)$ and $n = \dim(A)$. Since $\dim(\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)) = 2d - 1$, the proof of the proposition shows that the cotangent bundle of X is $(2d - n)$ -ample at best. It is always d -ample, and is $(d - 1)$ -ample except if X has a nonzero vector field, which happens if and only if X is stable by translation by a nonzero abelian subvariety (generated by the vector field).

(2) Many things can prevent the cotangent bundle of X from being ample. Here are two examples.

- Assume $X \supset X_1 + X_2$, where X_1 and X_2 are subvarieties of A of positive dimension. For all x_1 smooth on X_1 and all $x_2 \in X_2$, one has $T_{X_1, x_1} \subset T_{X, x_1 + x_2}$, hence the cotangent bundle of X is not $(\dim(X_2) - 1)$ -ample. In the Jacobian of a smooth curve C , the cotangent bundle of any smooth $W_d(C)$ is therefore exactly $(d - 1)$ -ample (although its normal bundle is ample).

- If A is (isogenous to) a product $A_1 \times A_2$ and $X_{a_2} = X \cap (A_1 \times \{a_2\})$, the cotangent bundle of X is at most $(2 \dim(X_{a_2}) - \dim(A_1))$ -ample, because of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)|_{(X_{a_2})_{\text{reg}}} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{P}(\Omega_{A,0}) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \mathbf{P}(\Omega_{(X_{a_2})_{\text{reg}}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}(\Omega_{A_1,0}) \end{array}$$

In particular, if $\dim(X_{a_2}) > \frac{1}{2} \dim(A_1)$ for some a_2 , the cotangent bundle of X cannot be ample.

We will encounter the following situation twice: assume \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are vector bundles on a projective variety X that fit into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

where V is a vector space.

Lemma 3 *In the situation above, if moreover $\text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \dim(X)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}^* \text{ ample} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \text{ nef and big}$$

PROOF. As in the proof of Proposition 1, \mathcal{G} is nef and big if and only if the morphism $f : \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(V)$ induced by (2), which satisfies $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{G})}(1) = f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(V)}(1)$, is generically finite.

Let d be the dimension of X , let r be the dimension of V , let s be the rank of \mathcal{G} , and let G be the Grassmannian of vector subspaces of V^* of dimension s , with tautological quotient bundle \mathcal{Q} of rank $r - s \geq d$. The dual of the exact sequence (2) induces a map $\gamma : X \rightarrow G$ such that $\gamma^* \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{F}^*$.

Assume that $f(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{G}))$ has dimension $< \dim(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{G})) = d + s - 1$. There exists a linear subspace W^* of V^* of dimension $r - d - s + 1$ such that $\mathbf{P}(W)$ does not meet $f(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{G}))$. In other words, the variety $\gamma(X)$ does not meet the special Schubert variety $\{\Lambda \in G \mid \Lambda \cap W^* \neq \{0\}\}$, whose class is $c_d(\mathcal{Q})$. We obtain $\gamma(X) \cdot c_d(\mathcal{Q}) = 0$, hence $0 = c_d(\gamma^* \mathcal{Q}) = c_d(\mathcal{F}^*)$, and \mathcal{F}^* cannot be ample by [BG], Corollary 1.2. \square

2.2 Nef and big cotangent bundle

A characterization of subvarieties of an abelian variety whose cotangent bundle is nef and big follows easily from a result of [D1].

Proposition 4 *The cotangent bundle of a smooth subvariety X of an abelian variety is nef and big if and only if $\dim(X - X) = 2 \dim(X)$.*

PROOF. The cotangent bundle of X is nef and big if and only if the morphism f in (1) is generically finite onto its image $\bigcup_{x \in X} \mathbf{P}(\Omega_{X,x})$, i.e., if the latter has dimension $2 \dim(X) - 1$. The proposition follows from [D1], Theorem 2.1. \square

The condition $\dim(X-X) = 2 \dim(X)$ implies of course $2 \dim(X) \leq \dim(A)$. The converse holds if X is *nondegenerate* ([D1], Proposition 1.4): this means that for any quotient abelian variety $\pi : A \rightarrow B$, one has either $\pi(X) = B$ or $\dim(\pi(X)) = \dim(X)$. This property holds for example for any subvariety of a *simple* abelian variety.¹ It has also an interpretation in terms of positivity of the normal bundle of X .

Proposition 5 *The normal bundle of a smooth subvariety X of an abelian variety is nef and big if and only if X is nondegenerate.*

PROOF. The normal bundle $N_{X/A}$ to X in A is nef and big if and only if the map f' in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & f' & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 \mathbf{P}(N_{X/A}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{P}(T_A)|_X \simeq \mathbf{P}(T_{A,0}) \times X & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \mathbf{P}(T_{A,0}) \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow p_2 & & \\
 & & X & &
 \end{array} \quad (3)$$

is generically finite onto its image (i.e., surjective).

To each point p in the image of f' corresponds a hyperplane H_p in $T_{A,0}$ such that $T_{X,x} \subset H_p$ for all x in the image F_p in X of the fiber. This implies $T_{F_p,x} \subset H_p$ for all x in F_p , hence the tangent space at the origin of the abelian variety K_p generated by F_p is contained in H_p ([D2], Lemme VIII.1.2).

Since A has at most countably many abelian subvarieties, the abelian variety K_p is independent of the very general point p in the image of f' . Let $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ be the corresponding quotient. The differential of $\pi|_X$ is not surjective at any point of F_p since its image is contained in the hyperplane $T\pi(H_p)$. By generic smoothness, $\pi|_X$ is not surjective.

If X is nondegenerate, $\pi|_X$ is generically finite onto its image, hence F_p is finite and f' is generically finite onto its image. It follows that $N_{X/A}$ is nef and big.

Conversely, assume that $N_{X/A}$ is nef and big. Let $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ be a quotient of X such that $\pi(X) \neq B$. The tangent spaces to X along a general fiber of $\pi|_X$ are all contained in a fixed hyperplane. This fiber is therefore finite, hence X is nondegenerate. \square

¹An abelian variety A is simple if the only abelian subvarieties of A are 0 and A . For more about nondegenerate subvarieties, see [D2], Chap. VIII.

Proposition 6 *Let X be a smooth subvariety of an abelian variety A , of dimension at most $\frac{1}{2}\dim(A)$. We have*

$$\Omega_X \text{ ample} \Rightarrow N_{X/A} \text{ nef and big} \Rightarrow \Omega_X \text{ nef and big}$$

PROOF. The first implication follows from Lemma 3 applied to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_X \rightarrow T_A|_X \rightarrow N_{X/A} \rightarrow 0$.

The second implication follows from Propositions 4 and 5 and the fact that for a nondegenerate subvariety X of A , the equality $\dim(X - X) = \min(2\dim(X), \dim(A))$ holds ([D1], Proposition 1.4). \square

2.3 Ample cotangent bundle

In this subsection, we prove that the intersection of sufficiently ample general hypersurfaces in an abelian variety A has ample cotangent bundle, provided that its dimension be at most $\frac{1}{2}\dim(A)$.

We begin by fixing some notation. If A is a smooth variety, ∂ a vector field on A , and L a line bundle on A , we define, for any section s of L with divisor H , a section ∂s of $L|_H$ by the requirement that for any open set U of A and any trivialization $\varphi: \mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{\sim} L|_U$, we have $\partial s = \varphi(\partial(\varphi^{-1}(s)))|_H$ in $U \cap H$. We denote its zero locus by $H \cap \partial H$. We have an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(A, L) & \longrightarrow & H^0(H, L|_H) & \longrightarrow & H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \\ & & \partial s & \longmapsto & \partial \smile c_1(L) \end{array}$$

where $c_1(L)$ is considered as an element of $H^1(A, \Omega_A)$ and the cup product is the contraction

$$H^0(A, T_A) \otimes H^1(A, \Omega_A) \longrightarrow H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$$

2.3.1 The simple case

We begin with the case of a simple abelian variety, where we get an explicit bound on how ample the hypersurfaces should be.

Theorem 7 *Let L_1, \dots, L_c be very ample line bundles on a simple abelian variety A of dimension n . Consider general divisors $H_1 \in |L_1^{e_1}|, \dots, H_c \in |L_c^{e_c}|$. If e_2, \dots, e_c are all $> n$, the cotangent bundle of $H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_c$ is $\max(n - 2c, 0)$ -ample.*

PROOF. We need to prove that the fibers of the map f in (1) have dimension at most $m = \max(n - 2c, 0)$. This means that for H_i general in $|L_i^{e_i}|$ and any nonzero constant vector field ∂ on A , the dimension of the set of points x in $X = H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_c$ such that $\partial(x) \in T_{X,x}$ is at most m ; in other words, that

$$\dim(H_1 \cap \partial H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_c \cap \partial H_c) \leq m$$

It is enough to treat the case $c \leq n/2$. We proceed by induction on c , and assume that the variety $Y_\partial = H_1 \cap \partial H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{c-1} \cap \partial H_{c-1}$ has codimension $2c - 2$ in A for all nonzero ∂ . Let $Y_{\partial,1}, \dots, Y_{\partial,q}$ be its irreducible components.

Let $\mathcal{U}_e(Y_{\partial,i})$ be the open set of divisors H in $|L_c^e|$ such that $(Y_{\partial,i})_{\text{red}} \cap H$ is integral of codimension 1 in $Y_{\partial,i}$. If $H \in \mathcal{U}_e(Y_{\partial,i})$, I claim that $Y_{\partial,i} \cap H \cap \partial H$ has codimension 2 in $Y_{\partial,i}$. Indeed, let $s \in H^0(A, L_c^e)$ define H and set $Y = (Y_{\partial,i})_{\text{red}}$. The scheme $Y \cap H \cap \partial H$ is the zero set in $Y \cap H$ of the section ∂s defined above. In the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(H, L_c^e|_H) & \longrightarrow & H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \\ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \partial s & \longmapsto & \partial \smile e c_1(L_c) \end{array} \right) \rho & & \\ H^0(Y \cap H, L_c^e|_{Y \cap H}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \end{array} \quad (4)$$

the restriction ρ is injective because Y generates A , hence ∂s does not vanish identically on the integral scheme $Y \cap H$.

It follows that for $H \in \mathcal{U}_e(Y_\partial) = \bigcap_{i=1}^q \mathcal{U}_e(Y_{\partial,i})$, the scheme $Y_\partial \cap H \cap \partial H$ has codimension $2c$ in A . Thus, for $H_c \in \bigcap_{[\partial] \in \mathbf{P}(\Omega_{A,0})} \mathcal{U}_e(Y_\partial)$, the intersection

$$H_1 \cap \partial H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_c \cap \partial H_c$$

has codimension $2c$ in A for all nonzero constant vector field ∂ on A (note that when $c = 1$, there is no condition on H_1). Lemma 12, to be proved in 2.3.4, shows that the complement of $\mathcal{U}_e(Y_\partial)$ in $|L_c^e|$ has codimension at least $e - 1$. For $e > n$, the intersection $\bigcap_{[\partial] \in \mathbf{P}(\Omega_{A,0})} \mathcal{U}_e(Y_\partial)$ is therefore not empty and the theorem follows. \square

2.3.2 The general case

A variant of the same proof works for any abelian variety, but we lose control of the explicit lower bounds on e_2, \dots, e_c .

Theorem 8 *Let L_1, \dots, L_c be very ample line bundles on an abelian variety A of dimension n . For e_2, \dots, e_c large and divisible enough positive integers and general divisors $H_1 \in |L_1^{e_1}|, \dots, H_c \in |L_c^{e_c}|$, the cotangent bundle of $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_c$ is $\max(n - 2c, 0)$ -ample.*

Let us be more precise about the condition on the e_i . What we mean is that there exists for each $i \in \{1, \dots, c-1\}$ a function $\delta_i : \mathbf{N}^i \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^*$ such that the conclusion of the theorem holds if

$$\begin{aligned} e_2 = e'_2 \delta_1(e_1), \quad e_3 = e'_3 \delta_2(e_1, e_2), \quad \dots, \quad e_c = e'_c \delta_{c-1}(e_1, \dots, e_{c-1}) \\ \text{with } e'_2, \dots, e'_c > n \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

PROOF. We keep the setting and notation of the proof of Theorem 7. Everything goes through except when, in diagram (4), $\rho(\partial \smile c_1(L_c)) = 0$. In this case, let A'' be the abelian subvariety of A generated by Y and let A' be its complement with respect to L_c , so that the addition

$$\pi : A' \times A'' \rightarrow A$$

is an isogeny and $\pi^* L_c \simeq L_c|_{A'} \boxtimes L_c|_{A''}$. We have $Y = a' + Y''$, with $a' \in A'$, $Y'' \subset A''$, and $\partial \in H^0(A', T_{A'})$. In particular, we have an injection

$$H^0(A, L_c) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^0(A', L_c|_{A'}) \otimes H^0(A'', L_c|_{A''})$$

It is however difficult to identify in a manner useful for our purposes the sections of L_c inside this tensor product. Instead, we use a trick that will unfortunately force us to lose any control of the numbers involved.

The trick goes as follows. The kernel of π , being finite, is contained in the group of r -torsion points of $A' \times A''$ for some positive integer r . Multiplication by r factors as

$$A' \times A'' \xrightarrow{\pi} A \xrightarrow{\pi'} A' \times A''$$

and $\pi'^*(L_c|_{A'} \boxtimes L_c|_{A''})$ is some power $L_c^{e_0}$ of L_c . Sections of $L_c^{e_0}$ that come from $H^0(A', L_c|_{A'}) \otimes H^0(A'', L_c|_{A''})$ induce a morphism from A to some projective space that factors through π' and embeds $A' \times A''$.

We will consider sections of L^{ee_0} of the type $\pi'^* s$, with $s \in H^0(A', L_c^e|_{A'}) \otimes H^0(A'', L_c^e|_{A''})$. If the divisor H of s on $A' \times A''$ corresponds to a degree e hypersurface in $\mathcal{U}_e(\pi'(Y))$, the intersection $\pi'(Y) \cap H$ is integral of codimension 1 in $\pi'(Y) = \{ra'\} \times rY''$.

Fix a basis (s_1'', \dots, s_d'') for $H^0(A'', L_c^e|_{A''})$ and write $s = \sum_{i=1}^d s_i' \otimes s_i''$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'(Y) \cap H &= \pi'(Y) \cap \operatorname{div}\left(\sum_{i=1}^d s_i'(ra')s_i''\right) \\ \pi'(Y) \cap H \cap \partial H &= \pi'(Y) \cap \operatorname{div}\left(\sum_{i=1}^d s_i'(ra')s_i''\right) \cap \operatorname{div}\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \partial s_i'(ra')s_i''\right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi'(Y) \cap H$ is integral, $\pi'(Y) \cap H \cap \partial H$ has codimension 2 in $\pi'(Y)$ (hence $Y \cap \pi'^{-1}(H) \cap \partial \pi'^{-1}(H)$ has codimension 2 in Y) unless, for some complex number λ , the section $\sum_{i=1}^d (\lambda s_i' + \partial s_i')(ra')s_i''$ of $L_c^e|_{A''}$ vanishes on rY'' . In other words, if we let

$$\Gamma_{rY''} = \{(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbf{C}^d \mid \sum_{i=1}^d a_i s_i'' \text{ vanishes on } rY''\}$$

and

$$M_{\partial} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1'(ra') & \cdots & s_d'(ra') \\ \partial s_1'(ra') & \cdots & \partial s_d'(ra') \end{pmatrix}$$

we have $(\lambda, 1) \cdot M_\partial \in \Gamma_{rY''}$. Now we may pick any collection (s'_1, \dots, s'_d) we like. Fix one such that the corresponding matrix M_∂ has rank 2 for all nonzero ∂ and apply a square matrix N of size $\dim(A')$. The condition is now that the composition

$$\mathrm{Im}({}^t M_\partial) \subset \mathbf{C}^d \xrightarrow{{}^t N} \mathbf{C}^d \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^d / \Gamma_{rY''}$$

is *not* injective, that is,

- either ${}^t N \cdot \mathrm{Im}({}^t M_\partial) \cap \Gamma_{rY''} \neq \{0\}$, which imposes $\mathrm{codim}(\Gamma_{rY''}) - 1$ conditions on N ;
- or $\mathrm{Ker}({}^t N) \cap \mathrm{Im}({}^t M_\partial) \neq \{0\}$, which imposes $d - 1$ conditions on N .

The “bad” locus for H corresponds to the space of matrices N that satisfy either one of these properties for some nonzero $\partial \in H^0(A', T_{A'})$. Since, on the one hand $d = h^0(A'', L_c^e|_{A''}) > e$ and, on the other hand, the codimension of $\Gamma_{rY''}$ is the rank of the linear map $H^0(A'', L_c^e|_{A''}) \rightarrow H^0(rY'', L_c^e|_{rY''})$, which is $> e$, the codimension of the “bad” locus is at least $e - \dim(A') + 2$.

This means that for A'' (hence A') fixed, $e > n$, and H general in $|L_c^{ee_0}|$, for any component Y of Y_∂ that spans (as a group) A'' , the intersection $Y \cap H \cap \partial H$ has codimension 2 in Y for all nonzero ∂ in $H^0(A, T_A)$.

Since A has at most countably many abelian subvarieties, there are only finitely many different abelian subvarieties spanned by components of $Y_\partial = H_1 \cap \partial H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{c-1} \cap \partial H_{c-1}$ for H_1, \dots, H_{c-1} general in $|L_1^{e_1}|, \dots, |L_{c-1}^{e_{c-1}}|$ as ∂ runs through the nonzero elements of $H^0(A, T_A)$. Therefore, for some positive integer δ , any $e > n$, and H general in $|L_c^{e\delta}|$, the intersection $Y_\partial \cap H \cap \partial H$ has codimension 2 in Y_∂ for all nonzero $\partial \in H^0(A, T_A)$. This proves our claim by induction on c hence the theorem. \square

2.3.3 The four-dimensional case

In case the ambient abelian variety has dimension 4, we can make the numerical conditions in Theorem 8 explicit.

Theorem 9 *Let L_1 and L_2 be line bundles on an abelian fourfold A , with L_1 ample and L_2 very ample. For $e_1 \geq 5$, $e_2 \geq 5$, and $H_1 \in |L_1^{e_1}|$ and $H_2 \in |L_2^{e_2}|$ general, the surface $H_1 \cap H_2$ has ample cotangent bundle.*

PROOF. I claim that for H_1 general in $|L_1^{e_1}|$, the scheme $Y_\partial = H_1 \cap \partial H_1$ is an integral surface for each nonzero vector field ∂ on A . Granting the claim for the moment and using the notation of the proof of Theorem 7, the scheme $H_1 \cap \partial H_1 \cap H_2$ is then, for $H_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{e_2}(Y_\partial)$, an integral curve that generates A since its class is $e_2 H_1^2 H_2$. The argument of the proof of Theorem 7 applies in this case to prove that $H_1 \cap \partial H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \partial H_2$ is finite. Taking H_2 in $\bigcap_{[\partial] \in \mathbf{P}(\Omega_{A,0})} \mathcal{U}_{e_2}(Y_\partial)$ (which is possible by Lemma 12 since $e_2 > 4$), the intersection

$$H_1 \cap \partial H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \partial H_2$$

is finite for all nonzero vector fields ∂ , which is what we need. The theorem therefore follows from the claim, proved in the next lemma. \square

Lemma 10 *Let A be an abelian variety of dimension at least 4 and let L be an ample divisor on A . For $e \geq 5$ and H general in $|L^e|$, the scheme $H \cap \partial H$ is integral for all nonzero $\partial \in H^0(A, T_A)$.*

PROOF. Assume to the contrary that for some smooth $H \in |L^e|$, we have $H \cap \partial H = D'_1 + D'_2$, where D'_1 and D'_2 are effective nonzero Cartier divisors in H . We follow [BD], proposition 1.6: since $\dim(H) \geq 3$, there exist by the Lefschetz Theorem divisors D_1 and D_2 on A such that $D_1 + D_2 \equiv H$ and $D_i|_H \equiv D'_i$. Since D'_i is effective, the long exact sequence in cohomology associated with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A(D_i - H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A(D_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(D'_i) \rightarrow 0$$

shows that, for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, either $H^0(A, D_i) \neq 0$ or $H^1(A, D_i - H) \neq 0$. The case where both $H^1(A, D_1 - H)$ and $H^1(A, D_2 - H)$ are zero is impossible, since we would then have a section of L^e with divisor $H \cap \partial H$ on H . The case where both $H^1(A, D_1 - H)$ and $H^1(A, D_2 - H)$ are nonzero is impossible as in *loc. cit.* because $\dim(A) \geq 3$.

So we may assume $H^1(A, D_2 - H) \neq 0$ and $H^1(A, D_1 - H) = 0$, and take D_1 effective such that $D_1 \cap H = D'_1$.

As in *loc. cit.*, A contains an elliptic curve E such that, if B is the neutral component of the kernel of the composed morphism

$$A \xrightarrow{\phi_H} \text{Pic}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(E)$$

the addition map $\pi : E \times B \rightarrow A$ is an isogeny, ∂ is tangent to E , and $\pi^*(D_1) = p_1^*(D_E)$ for some effective divisor D_E on E . Pick a basis (t_1, \dots, t_d) for $H^0(B, L^e|_B)$ and a section s of L^e with divisor H , and write

$$\pi^*s = \sum_{i=1}^d s_i \otimes t_i$$

with $s_1, \dots, s_d \in H^0(E, L^e|_E)$, so that $\pi^{-1}(H \cap \partial H)$ is defined by

$$\sum_{i=1}^d s_i \otimes t_i = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial s_i \otimes t_i = 0$$

Since $D'_1 = H \cap D_1$ is contained in $H \cap \partial H$, for every point x of the support of D_E , we have

$$\text{div}\left(\sum_{i=1}^d s_i(x)t_i\right) \subset \text{div}\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \partial s_i(x)t_i\right) \subset B$$

Since these two divisors belong to the same linear series $|L^e|_B$ on B , they must be equal and

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} s_1(x) & \cdots & s_d(x) \\ \partial s_1(x) & \cdots & \partial s_d(x) \end{pmatrix} \leq 1$$

Since H is irreducible, the sections s_1, \dots, s_d have no common zero and the morphism $\psi_H : E \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{d-1}$ that they define is ramified at x .

The vector subspace of $H^0(E, L^e|_E)$ generated by s_1, \dots, s_d only depends on s , not on the choice of the basis (t_1, \dots, t_d) . If b_1, \dots, b_d are general points of B , it is also generated by $s(\cdot + b_1), \dots, s(\cdot + b_d)$ and

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} s(x + b_1) & \cdots & s(x + b_d) \\ \partial s(x + b_1) & \cdots & \partial s(x + b_d) \end{pmatrix} \leq 1$$

Assume now that the conclusion of the lemma fails for *general* H (and s). The point x varies with s , but remains constant for s in a hypersurface H_x of $H^0(X, L^e)$. If s is in

$$H'_x = H_x \cap \{t \in H^0(X, L^e) \mid t(x + b_1) = t(x + b_2) = 0\}$$

it also satisfies $\partial s(x + b_1) = \partial s(x + b_2) = 0$. Since H'_x has codimension at most 3 in $H^0(X, L^e)$, this means that L^e is not 3-jet ample and contradicts Theorem 1 of [BS]: the lemma is proved. \square

Remarks 11 (1) Let A be an abelian fourfold that contains no elliptic curves. The proof of Lemma 10 shows that for *any* smooth ample hypersurface H in A and any nonzero $\partial \in H^0(A, T_A)$, the scheme $H \cap \partial H$ is integral. It follows that for L very ample, $e \geq 5$, and $H' \in |L^e|$ general, the surface $H \cap H'$ has ample cotangent bundle (this is only a small improvement on Theorem 7).

(2) Lehavi has recently proved that on a *general* Jacobian fourfold (hence also on a general principally polarized abelian fourfold), the intersection of a theta divisor with a translate by a point of order 2 is a smooth surface with ample cotangent bundle. This implies the same statement for the intersection of two general translates of general hypersurfaces in the same linear system of even degree on a general polarized abelian fourfold.

2.3.4 Proof of the lemma

We prove the lemma used in the proofs of all three theorems.²

Lemma 12 *Let Y be an integral subscheme of \mathbf{P}^n of dimension at least 2 and let $\mathcal{V}_{e,n}$ be the projective space of hypersurfaces of degree e in \mathbf{P}^n . The codimension of the complement $\mathcal{V}_e(Y)$ of*

$$\mathcal{V}_e(Y) = \{F \in \mathcal{V}_{e,n} \mid Y \cap F \text{ is integral of codimension 1 in } Y\}$$

in $\mathcal{V}_{e,n}$ is at least $e - 1$.

²It is a pleasure to acknowledge Zak's help with the proof of this lemma.

PROOF. By taking hyperplane sections, we may assume that Y is a surface. We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 2$, this codimension is

$$\min_{1 \leq k \leq e-1} \left(\binom{e+2}{2} - \binom{k+2}{2} - \binom{e-k+2}{2} + 1 \right) = e - 1$$

Assume $n \geq 3$. Let \mathcal{V} be a component of $\mathcal{V}_e(Y)$ of maximal dimension and let $\mathcal{C}_{e,p}$ be the linear subspace of $\mathcal{V}_{e,n}$ that consists of cones with vertex a point p . If \mathcal{V} does not meet $\mathcal{C}_{e,p}$, we have

$$\text{codim}(\mathcal{V}) \geq \dim(\mathcal{C}_{e,p}) - 1 = \binom{n-1+e}{e} - 1 > e - 1$$

and the lemma is proved. We will therefore assume that \mathcal{V} meets $\mathcal{C}_{e,p}$. Let $\pi : \mathbf{P}^n - \{p\} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ be a projection. If F is general in $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{C}_{e,p}$,

- either $\pi(F) \cap \pi(Y)$ is not integral of dimension 1, the induction hypothesis yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim}_{\mathcal{V}_e} \mathcal{V} &\geq \text{codim}_{\mathcal{C}_{e,p}}(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{C}_{e,p}) \\ &\geq \text{codim}_{\mathcal{V}_{e,n-1}}(\mathcal{V}_e(\pi(Y)) \cap \mathcal{V}_{e,n-1}) \\ &\geq e - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma is proved;

- or the curve $\pi(F) \cap \pi(Y)$ is integral of dimension 1, but is contained in the locus E over which the finite morphism $\pi|_Y : Y \rightarrow \pi(Y)$ is not an isomorphism.

In the second case, if $n \geq 4$, the morphism $\pi|_Y$ is birational, E has dimension at most 1, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim}_{\mathcal{V}_{e,n}} \mathcal{V} &\geq \text{codim}_{\mathcal{C}_{e,p}}(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{C}_{e,p}) \\ &\geq \text{codim}_{\mathcal{V}_{e,n-1}}\{F \in \mathcal{V}_{e,n-1} \mid F \text{ contains a component of } E\} \\ &\geq e + 1 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds because any $e + 1$ points in \mathbf{P}^{n-1} impose independent conditions on hypersurfaces of degree e . The lemma is proved in this case.

We are reduced to the case $n = 3$: the curve $C = \pi(F) \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ is integral and its inverse image $F \cap Y$ by $\pi|_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$, is reduced but reducible.

We consider the following degeneration. Denote by G an equation for Y ; the surface Y_t defined by $G(tx_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$ is projectively equivalent to Y for $t \neq 0$, whereas Y_0 is the cone with vertex $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ and base $Y \cap (x_0 = 0)$. We may therefore assume that Y is an integral cone with vertex a point $p' \neq p$ and we let $\pi' : Y - \{p'\} \rightarrow C' \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ be the projection. Let O be the intersection of the line pp' with the plane \mathbf{P}^2 . Pick a line L in \mathbf{P}^2 , avoiding O , and consider

the projections $C - \{O\} \rightarrow L$ and $C' \rightarrow L$ from O (the point O might be on C (if $F \ni p'$), but is not on C' , because $p \notin Y$). The maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (F \cap Y) - \{p'\} & \longrightarrow & (C - \{O\}) \times_L C' \\ x & \longmapsto & (\pi(x), \pi'(x)) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (C - \{O\}) \times_L C' & \longrightarrow & (F \cap Y) - \{p'\} \\ (y, y') & \longmapsto & py \cap p'y' \end{array}$$

are inverse one to another. Therefore, given the integral curve C' , we need to study the dimension of the set of curves C of degree e for which the curve $C \times_L C'$ is reducible.

Using the same trick as above, we degenerate C' to the union C'_0 of $\deg(C')$ distinct lines through some point. At the limit, $C \times_L C'_0$ is the union of $\deg(C')$ curves isomorphic to C . If C is integral, the projection $C \times_L C'_0 \rightarrow C'_0$ has the property that every irreducible component of C'_0 is dominated by a unique component of $C \times_L C'_0$, and the set of “bad” curves has codimension $e - 1$ as we saw in the case $n = 2$. This property of the projection, begin open, carries over to $C \times_L C' \rightarrow C'$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

2.4 Cohomology of symmetric tensors

Let X be a smooth subvariety of an abelian variety. We are interested in the cohomology groups of the vector bundles $\mathbf{S}^r \Omega_X$.

Proposition 13 *Let A be an abelian variety of dimension n and let X be a smooth subvariety of codimension c of A with ample normal bundle. For $r \geq 0$, the restriction*

$$H^q(A, \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_A) \longrightarrow H^q(X, \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_X)$$

is bijective for $q < n - 2c$ and injective for $q = n - 2c$.³

PROOF. We follow the ideas of [S]. The symmetric powers of the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N_{X/A}^* \rightarrow \Omega_{A|X} \rightarrow \Omega_X \rightarrow 0$ yield, for each $r > 0$, a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^c N_{X/A}^* \otimes \mathbf{S}^{r-c} \Omega_A \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow N_{X/A}^* \otimes \mathbf{S}^{r-1} \Omega_A \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_A|_X \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_X \rightarrow 0$$

³For the case $q = 0$, Bogomolov gave in [B2] a very nice proof that goes as follows. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 4, we find that the morphism f of (1) is surjective whenever $X - X = A$. Any fiber of f is isomorphic to its projection to X , which is the zero locus of a section of $N_{A/X}$. It follows that when $N_{A/X}$ is ample and $c < n - c$, the fibers of f are connected, hence $f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)}(r) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\Omega_{A,0})}(r)$, from which we get, for all $r \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_X) &\simeq H^0(\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)}(r)) \\ &\simeq H^0(\mathbf{P}(\Omega_{A,0}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\Omega_{A,0})}(r)) \simeq H^0(A, \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_A) \end{aligned}$$

By Le Potier's vanishing theorem ([LP]; [L], Remark 7.3.6), $H^q(X, \wedge^i N_{X/A}^*)$ vanishes for $n - c - q > c - i$ and $i > 0$. Since Ω_A is trivial, we get, by an elementary homological algebra argument ([S], Lemma, p. 176),

$$H^q(X, \text{Ker}(\mathbf{S}^r \Omega_A|_X \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_X)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } q \leq n - 2c.$$

The proposition now follows from the fact that the restriction $H^q(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, hence also the restriction $H^q(A, \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_A) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_A|_X)$, is bijective for $q \leq n - 2c$ ([So2]). \square

Sommese proved ([So1], Proposition (1.7)) that for any k -ample vector bundle \mathcal{E} on a projective variety X and any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X ,

$$H^q(X, \mathbf{S}^r \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for all $q > k$ and $r \gg 0$. Theorem 7 and Proposition 13 therefore imply the following.

Corollary 14 *Let X be the intersection of c sufficiently ample⁴ general hyper-surfaces in an abelian variety A of dimension n . We have*

$$h^q(X, \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_X) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{for } q > \max\{n - 2c, 0\} \text{ and } r \gg 0 \\ = h^q(A, \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_A) & \text{for } q < n - 2c \text{ and } r \geq 0 \\ \geq h^q(A, \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_A) & \text{for } q = n - 2c \text{ and } r \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

3 Subvarieties of the projective space

We now study the positivity properties of the cotangent bundle of a smooth subvariety of the projective space.

3.1 Big twisted cotangent bundle

If X is a smooth subvariety of \mathbf{P}^n of dimension d , we let $\gamma_X : X \rightarrow G(d, \mathbf{P}^n)$ be the Gauss map. We denote by \mathcal{S} the universal subbundle and by \mathcal{Q} the universal quotient bundle on $G(d, \mathbf{P}^n)$. We have $\gamma_X^* \mathcal{Q} = N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n}(-1)$ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n}^*(1) & = & N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n}^*(1) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)|_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_X(1) & \longrightarrow & \gamma_X^* \mathcal{S}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array} \quad (6)$$

⁴To be more precise, we need condition (5) to be satisfied.

The following result is proved as Propositions 4 and 6.

Proposition 15 *Let X be a smooth subvariety of dimension d of \mathbf{P}^n .*

- *If $\gamma_X^* \mathcal{S}^*$ is big, $2d \leq n$.*
- *If $2d \leq n$ and $N_{X/P^n}(-1)$ is ample, $\gamma_X^* \mathcal{S}^*$ is nef and big.*

Similarly, with the same ideas, we prove an analog of Theorem 7.

Theorem 16 *Let X be a general complete intersection in \mathbf{P}^n of multidegree (e_1, \dots, e_c) . If $e_1 \geq 2$ and e_2, \dots, e_c are all $\geq n+2$, the vector bundle $\gamma_X^* \mathcal{S}^*$ is $\max(n-2c, 0)$ -ample.*

PROOF. We need to prove that the fibers of the composed map

$$\mathbf{P}(\gamma_X^* \mathcal{S}^*) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^n \times X \xrightarrow{p_1} \mathbf{P}^n$$

analogous to the map f in diagram (3) have dimension at most $m = \max(n-2c, 0)$. This means that for H_i general in $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(e_i)|$ and for *any* t in \mathbf{P}^n , the dimension of the set of points x in X such that $t \in \mathbf{T}_{X,x}$ is at most m . Pick coordinates and write $t = (t_0, \dots, t_n)$. If s is an equation of a hypersurface H , we let $\partial_t H$ be the hypersurface with equation $\partial_t s = \sum_{i=0}^n t_i \frac{\partial s}{\partial x_i}$. With this notation, we want

$$\dim(H_1 \cap \partial_t H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_c \cap \partial_t H_c) \leq m$$

As in the proof of Theorem 7, we proceed by induction on c , assuming $c \leq n/2$. When $c = 1$, it is clear that $e_1 \geq 2$ is sufficient.

Assume $Y_t = H_1 \cap \partial_t H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{c-1} \cap \partial_t H_{c-1}$ has (pure) codimension $2c-2$ in \mathbf{P}^n , with irreducible components $Y_{t,1}, \dots, Y_{t,m}$. Set $Y = (Y_{t,i})_{\text{red}}$; it follows from Lemma 12 that $Y \cap H$ is integral of codimension 1 in Y for H outside a closed subset of codimension $\geq d-1$ in $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)|$.

Assume that this is the case. If $\text{codim}_Y(Y \cap H \cap \partial_t H) \leq 1$, the section $\partial_t s$ must vanish on $Y \cap H$. Since the restriction

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(d-1)) \rightarrow H^0(Y \cap H, \mathcal{O}_{Y \cap H}(d-1))$$

is injective, it must also vanish on Y . Since any d distinct points of Y impose independent conditions on elements of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)|$ and the map $\partial_t : H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1))$ is surjective, we have proved that the set of hypersurfaces H in $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)|$ such that $\text{codim}_{Y_t}(Y_t \cap H \cap \partial_t H) \leq 1$ has codimension $\geq d-1$ in $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)|$. The theorem follows. \square

Corollary 17 *Let X be a general complete intersection in \mathbf{P}^n of multidegree (e_1, \dots, e_c) . If $e_1 \geq 2$ and e_2, \dots, e_c are all $\geq n+2$, and $c \geq n/2$, the vector bundle $\Omega_X(1)$ is big.*

When X is a *surface*, (i.e., $c = n - 2$) results of Bogomolov ([B1], [B2]) give the much better result that $\Omega_X(-\frac{1}{5}K_X)$ is big.

PROOF. The last row of diagram (6) yields, for all positive integers r , an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^r(\Omega_X(1)) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^r(\gamma_X^* \mathcal{S}^*) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{r-1}(\gamma_X^* \mathcal{S}^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1) \rightarrow 0$$

It follows from Theorem 16 that for $r \gg 0$, we have

$$H^q(X, \mathbf{S}^r(\Omega_X(1))) = 0 \quad \text{for } q > 1 \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, if $d = n - c$, the coefficient of $\frac{r^{2d-1}}{(2d-1)!}$ in the polynomial $\chi(X, \mathbf{S}^r(\Omega_X(1)))$ is

$$\begin{aligned} s_d(\Omega_X(1)^*) &= \left[\prod_{i=1}^c (1 + (e_i - 1)h)(1 - h) \right]_d \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_d \leq c} (e_{i_1} - 1) \cdots (e_{i_d} - 1) - \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{d-1} \leq c} (e_{i_1} - 1) \cdots (e_{i_{d-1}} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Since $c \geq n/2$, this is positive, so that by (7), we have, for $r \gg 0$,

$$h^0(X, \mathbf{S}^r(\Omega_X(1))) \geq \chi(X, \mathbf{S}^r(\Omega_X(1))) = \alpha r^{2d-1} + O(r^{2d-2})$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. This shows that $\Omega_X(1)$ is big. \square

3.2 Conjectures

By analogy with Theorem 7, it is tempting to conjecture the following generalization of a question formulated by Schneider in [S], p. 180.

Conjecture 18 *The cotangent bundle of the intersection in \mathbf{P}^n of at least $n/2$ general hypersurfaces of sufficiently high degrees is ample.*

Ampleness can be characterized cohomologically as follows.

Proposition 19 *Let X be a projective variety and let L be an ample line bundle on X . A vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X is ample if and only if, for any integer m , we have $H^q(X, \mathbf{S}^r \mathcal{E} \otimes L^m) = 0$ for all $q > 0$ and $r \gg 0$.*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{F} be an arbitrary coherent sheaf on X . It has a possibly nonterminating resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

by locally free sheaves that are direct sums of powers of L . Therefore, $H^q(X, \mathbf{S}^r \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}_j) = 0$ for all $j \in \{0, \dots, \dim(X)\}$, all $q > 0$ and $r \gg 0$, and this implies $H^q(X, \mathbf{S}^r \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $q > 0$ and $r \gg 0$. This proves that \mathcal{E} is ample ([L], Theorem 6.1.10). \square

Conjecture 18 therefore has the following equivalent cohomological formulation.

Conjecture 20 *Let X be as in Conjecture 18. For any integer m , we have $H^q(X, (\mathbf{S}^r \Omega_X)(m)) = 0$ for all $q > 0$ and $r \gg 0$.*

Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension d with ω_X ample and let L be a line bundle on X . It follows from [De], Theorem 14.1, that $H^d(X, \mathbf{S}^r \Omega_X \otimes L)$ vanishes for $r \gg 0$. This leads us to think that the following stronger form of Conjecture 20 might be true.

Conjecture 21 *Let X be the intersection in \mathbf{P}^n of c general hypersurfaces of sufficiently high degrees and let m be an integer. For $r \gg 0$, we have*

$$H^q(X, (\mathbf{S}^r \Omega_X)(m)) = 0 \quad (8)$$

except for $q = \max\{n - 2c, 0\}$.

Remarks 22 (1) For any smooth subvariety X of \mathbf{P}^n of codimension c , the vanishing (8) holds for $q < n - 2c$ and $r \geq m + 2$ by [S], Theorem 1.1, and for $q = n - c$ by Demailly's theorem. In particular, Conjecture 21 holds for $c \leq 1$.

(2) Under the hypotheses of Conjecture 21, one checks that the leading coefficient of the polynomial $\chi(\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)}(r))$ has sign $(-1)^{\max\{n-2c, 0\}}$. This is compatible with the conjecture.

4 Bogomolov's construction of varieties with ample cotangent bundle

We present here an old unpublished construction of Bogomolov that produces varieties with ample cotangent bundle as linear sections of products of varieties with big cotangent bundle (a differential-geometric version of this construction appeared later in [W]). Everything in this section is due to Bogomolov.⁵

Proposition 23 ((Bogomolov)) *Let X_1, \dots, X_m be smooth projective varieties with big cotangent bundle, all of dimension at least $d > 0$. Let V be a general linear section of $X_1 \times \dots \times X_m$. If $\dim(V) \leq \frac{d(m+1)+1}{2(d+1)}$, the cotangent bundle of V is ample.*

PROOF. Since Ω_{X_i} is big, there exist a proper closed subset B_i of $\mathbf{P}(\Omega_{X_i})$ and an integer q such that for each i , the sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\Omega_{X_i})}(q)$, i.e., the sections of $\mathbf{S}^q \Omega_{X_i}$, define an *injective* morphism

$$f_i : \mathbf{P}(\Omega_{X_i}) - B_i \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n_i}$$

⁵I am grateful to Bogomolov for allowing me to reproduce his construction.

Lemma 24 *Let X be a smooth subvariety of \mathbf{P}^n and let B be a subvariety of $\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)$. A general linear section V of X of dimension at most $\frac{1}{2} \text{codim}(B)$ satisfies*

$$\mathbf{P}(\Omega_V) \cap B = \emptyset$$

PROOF. Consider the variety

$$\{((t, x), \Lambda) \in B \times G(n - c, \mathbf{P}^n) \mid x \in X \cap \Lambda, t \in T_{X, x} \cap T_{\Lambda, x}\}$$

The fibers of its projection to B have codimension $2c$, hence it does not dominate $G(n - c, \mathbf{P}^n)$ as soon as $2c > \dim(B)$. This is equivalent to $2(\dim(X) - \dim(V)) - 1 \geq 2 \dim(X) - 1 - \text{codim}(B)$ and the lemma is proved. \square

Let B'_i be the (conical) inverse image of B_i in the total space of the tangent bundle of X_i . Let V be a general linear section of $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$ and set $a = m + 1 - 2 \dim(V)$.

If $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$, with $t_i \in T_{X_i, x_i}$, is a nonzero tangent vector to V , the lemma implies that there are at least a values of the index i for which $t_i \notin B'_i$. If, say, t_1 is not in B'_1 , there exists a section of $\mathbf{S}^q \Omega_{X_1}$ that does not vanish at t_1 . This section induces, via the projection $V \rightarrow X_1$, a section of $\mathbf{S}^q \Omega_V$ that does not vanish at t . It follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\Omega_V)}(q)$ is base-point-free and its sections define a morphism $f : \mathbf{P}(\Omega_V) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$.

We need to show that f is *finite*. Assume to the contrary that a curve C in $\mathbf{P}(\Omega_V)$ through t is contracted. Since the restriction of the projection $\pi : \mathbf{P}(\Omega_V) \rightarrow V$ to any fiber of f is injective, and since f_i is injective, the argument above proves that the curve $\pi(C)$ is contracted by each projection $p_i : V \rightarrow X_i$ such that $t_i \notin B'_i$.

The following lemma leads to a contradiction when $2 \dim(V) \leq ad + 1$. This proves the proposition. \square

Lemma 25 *Let V be a general linear section of a product $X \times Y$ in a projective space. If $2 \dim(V) \leq \dim(X) + 1$, the projection $V \rightarrow X$ is finite.*

PROOF. Let \mathbf{P}^n be the ambient projective space and let $G = G(n - c, \mathbf{P}^n)$. Set

$$I = \overline{\{(x, y, y', \Lambda) \in X \times Y \times Y \times G \mid y \neq y', (x, y) \in \Lambda, (x, y') \in \Lambda\}}$$

General fibers of the projection $I \rightarrow X \times Y \times Y$ have codimension $2c$. If Λ is general in G and $V = (X \times Y) \cap \Lambda$, the fiber of the projection $I \rightarrow G$ at Λ , which is isomorphic to

$$\overline{\{(x, y), (x, y') \in V \times V \mid y \neq y', (x, y) \in V, (x, y') \in V\}}$$

therefore has dimension at most 1 as soon as $2c \geq \dim(X \times Y \times Y) - 1$, or equivalently $2 \dim(V) \leq \dim(X) + 1$. When this holds, the projection $V \rightarrow X$ is finite and the lemma is proved. \square

Using his construction, Bogomolov exhibits smooth projective varieties with ample cotangent bundle that are simply connected. More generally, his ideas give the following result.

Proposition 26 *Given any smooth projective variety X , there exists a smooth projective surface with ample cotangent bundle and same fundamental group as X .*

PROOF. By the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, a sufficiently ample 3-dimensional linear section Y of $X \times \mathbf{P}^4$ has same fundamental group as X and ample canonical bundle. A smooth hyperplane section S of Y with class ah satisfies

$$c_1^2(S) - c_2(S) = a^2 h^2 \cdot c_1(Y) + ah \cdot (c_1^2(Y) - c_2(Y))$$

This is positive for $a \gg 0$, hence the cotangent bundle of S is big by a famous trick of Bogomolov ([B2]). Moreover, S and X have isomorphic fundamental groups. Starting from a simply connected X_0 , we similarly obtain a simply connected surface S_0 with big cotangent bundle. Taking in Bogomolov's construction $X_1 = \dots = X_5 = S_0$, we produce a smooth simply connected projective surface S_1 with ample cotangent bundle.

Taking in Bogomolov's construction $X_1 = S$ and $X_2 = \dots = X_5 = S_1$, we produce a smooth projective surface with ample cotangent bundle and same fundamental group as X . \square

References

- [BS] Bauer, Th., Szemberg, T., Higher order embeddings of abelian varieties, *Math. Z.* **224** (1997), 449–455.
- [BD] Beauville, A., Debarre, O., Une relation entre deux approches du problème de Schottky, *Invent. Math.* **86** (1986), 195–207.
- [BG] Bloch, S., Gieseker, D., The positivity of the Chern classes of an ample vector bundle, *Invent. Math.* **12** (1971), 112–117.
- [B1] Bogomolov, F., Holomorphic tensors and vector bundles on projective varieties (in russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **42** (1978), 1227–1287, 1439; English transl.: *Math. USSR Izvestiya* **13** (1979), 499–555.
- [B2] Bogomolov, F., Holomorphic symmetric tensors on projective surfaces (in russian), *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **33** (1978), 171–172; English transl.: *Russian Math. Surveys* **33** (1978), 179–180.
- [D1] Debarre, O., Fulton-Hansen and Barth-Lefschetz theorems for subvarieties of abelian varieties, *J. reine angew. Math.* **467** (1995), 187–197.
- [D2] Debarre, O., *Tores et variétés abéliennes complexes*, Cours Spécialisés **6**, Société mathématique de France, 1999.

- [De] Demailly, J.-P., Algebraic criteria for Kobayashi hyperbolic projective varieties and jet differentials, Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, 285–360, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **62**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [L] Lazarsfeld, R., *Positivity in algebraic geometry II*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **49**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [LP] Le Potier, J., Annulation de la cohomologie à valeurs dans un fibré vectoriel holomorphe positif de rang quelconque, *Math. Ann.* **218** (1975), 35–53.
- [M] Moriwaki, A., Remarks on rational points of varieties whose cotangent bundles are generated by global sections, *Math. Res. Lett.* **2** (1995), 113–118.
- [NS] Noguchi, J., Sunada, T., Finiteness of the family of rational and meromorphic mappings into algebraic varieties, *Amer. J. Math.* **104** (1982), 887–900.
- [S] Schneider, M., Symmetric differential forms as embedding obstructions and vanishing theorems, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **1** (1992), 175–181.
- [So1] Sommese, A., Submanifolds of abelian varieties, *Math. Ann.* **233** (1978), 229–256.
- [So2] Sommese, A., Complex subspaces of homogeneous complex manifolds. I. Transplanting theorems, *Duke Math. J.* **46** (1979), 527–548.
- [W] Wong, B., A class of compact complex manifolds with negative tangent bundles, *Complex analysis of several variables (Madison, Wis., 1982)*, 217–223, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **41**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1984.